First Supplement to Memorandum 73-96

Subject: Study 39.30 - Wage Garnishment and Related Matters (AB 101)

The most recent issue of <u>Collector's Ink</u> (official monthly publication of the California Association of Collectors) contained an editorial and letter relating to AB 101. Copies are attached.

Respectfully submitted,

John H. DeMoully Executive Secretary

Collector's Ink

OFFICIAL MONTHLY PUBLICATION OF THE CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF COLLECTORS, INC.

VOL. XXV

NOVEMBER, 1973

NUMBER 12



President' Message

ROBERT P. LEONARDINI

day to every member of AC. Our annua Mid-Winter Confere e will be convent at 9:00 A.M. both ays, at the Airport Marina Hotel additent to the San Francisco Internationa Airport. As an added titem, the Lei slative Executive Council will be meeting at the same location in Thursday. Movember 15th.

This is course, i CAC's yearly working meeting with far hour sessions slated for both Iriday. If Saturday mornings. As previously addited, these will not be mere combitted reporting sessions, but will be devited to discussing and deciding important matters that have been submitted by members throughout the State. I recent a requested items from the Board of Galances to be included on the agenda, doe only did the topics have a wide range but the response proved to me that of a members are vitally interested in what is it in spiring in both CAC and ACA Further, it convinced me that most me ibers want to have a hand in making the decision which will have a direct baring on their own future and that of our association.

The igenda and congrence details will be folial elsewhere in his issue, but let me so there that the it in suggested for discussion range from le islation to education—from budgets to by-laws—and for litigation to prote tations. Loren Day, our Attorney and Vikor Steffan, our Le islative Advocate will be present to vici with you informally as well as being it uded on the formal program. I since lely feel the interest shown to date will be reflected in the numbers a conding the outer convention in Palla Soring.

aference.

Many of you who were unable to attend e recent convention in Palit Springs he to the weather and travel volved, ppefully will find the San Franch o area ore accessible. Your attendance in earndy anticipated and desired.

EDITORIAL

In the current populist reform movement, the legislature keeps the telescopic sight of its camera focused with intense concentration on the Debtor. The Creditor is ignored, forgotten or dispensed with. The Creditor is thought of as a constant quantity - he will always be there to be plucked. If the carcass gets a bit exposed and bare, you can take for granted that the feathers will grow back, so that you can always count on a full bird.

A case in point is Assembly Bill No. 101, introduced by Assemblyman Warren and co-authored by Senator Song. It has passed the Assembly and is waiting in the Senate for the session that opens in January 1974.

The Bill provides that a court order for alimony and/or child support may be a continuous levy, ad infinitum, on the

man's wages. Anyone who has the least familiarity with the alimony-child support situations knows that at the present time it is a mess. The law makes it the responsibility of the City or District Attorney to locate the delinquent husband or father and to provide lodging for him in jail if he fails to meet the order of the Court, However, the delegated attorneys are too busy to do the job, and the problem hangs in limbo while divorcees and children suffer for lack of support.

And so, instead of trying to improve or devise a legal process that will correct the situation, the Legislature turns to the creditor as a fowl that can be easily

Inasmuch as the present law prescribes that only one garnishment can stand at any one time, and inasmuch as AB 101 provides for a continuous garnishment. the essence is that in such a circumstance, no creditor may uttempt a garnishment. He would be blocked by the existing alimony and/or child support levy.

The existing law, in contradistanction, calls for a garmishment to run 90 days. with a lapse of 10 days to allow for some other Creditor to come or if he holds a judgment, AB 101 says that we have to think only of the hapless divorcee and for

her neglected children. Forget the Creditor. A goose is a goose is a goose.

Let's examine the situation a bit more closely. There would seem to be two classes of ex-husbands. One class is sincere and conscientious. He will meet his payments regularly as called for because he respects a court order; because he feels a moral obligation to the woman to whom he was once married; and because he is conscientiously concerned about the welfare of his children. He doesn't need any garnishment provision.

There is the other class, however, which is the core of the problem. It consists of the men who resent their ex-wives and/ or have no concern for their children. They consider the court order onerous and burdensome. They will use any wile to evade payment. The garnishment provision, of course, is directed against them. However, at the first sign of an execution against their salaries, they will skip.

Which leaves us with the original problent. How will they be traced. Who will trace them. Presumably, the District Attorney. But experience shows that he is not equipped to do the job. We are therefore right back where we started from. And AB 101 will not contribute the slightest aid for a solution.

However, that is not the point. AB 101 again demonstrates that in the thinking process, absolutely no thought was given to the Creditor - except that it was taken for granted that he was a golden goose always at ready to be plucked.

MID-WINTER **CONFERENCE**

NOVEMBER 16-17

AIRPORT MARINA HOTEL **BURLINGAME**

SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT To The Collection Fraternity

Re: Assembly Bill 101

As you are well aware, CAC has been fighting AB 101 and its predecessor for many years.

On September 4, 1973, a hearing was scheduled before the Senate Judiciary Committee to determine whether or not this Bill, having heretofore passed the Judiciary Committee and the Assembly floor with little or no opposition, should be approved by the Senate Judiciary Committee and be sent to the Senate floor with

a "do pass" recommendation. Having personally fought this Bill be-

Having personally fought this Bill before the Law Revision Commission, I felt very strongly that there should be opposition to this Bill in addition to whatever should be voiced by CAC, and therefore, I retained the law firm of Weiss, Bregman & Lipton to prepare an analysis of the Bill pointing out some of the major defects.

The law firm of Weiss, Bregman & Lipton did prepare such an analysis and did cause same to be personally served on not only the author and co-author of the Bill, but on each member of the Senate Judiciary Committee.

As you are further aware, the author requested that the Bill not be heard by the Senate Judiciary Committee this year and the Bill was withdrawn from consideration for this year.

Since I feel so strongly about this Bill and the horrible effect that it would have on this industry, I am enclosing a copy of the brief of Weiss, Bregman and Lipton for your consideration and request that you show this to your attorney and that you attend the Unit 8 meeting on October 18, 1973 so that this matter can be discussed further.

Very truly yours, Emil A. Markovitz President, Unit 8

should have a political on the should have the

CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF COLLECTORS

Mr. A. D. Ernst, Jr. P.O. B. 249 Yuma, 1 Jona 85364

Dear W. President "Bud":

Today have added the state of the executive districted for the executive districted for the executive districted for the executive of the exec

This is a second of the appreciation of the Californ association of Collectors. Inc., for the second of W.C.C. at a current officers.

We hope issue will be of some help to you, them; even if just to "keep up" we our supporters in California. Our Coper issue is enclosed.

Be assured, and other officers, that the support of alifornia's Collectors is with you as y this office knows sistance to you

ever, Irbara Helm cretary

Up to 50% C brate Interest available in estal ed agency.

San Franco BAY AREA

for Q.M. or esman with following who quees. List background, references requirements.

Send any , which all be held confident to Editor - Country's Ink.

On the Senate Chaplain, Edward Everette, was asked, "Doctor, when you you look at the tragic condition country and then pray that the mighty will give the Senators the wisdom to find solutions?" He answered, No, I do not, I look at the Senators and we for the country.

CALBER

GETS THE MONEY

in

San Bernardino Riverside Metropolitan Area

47 Years Experience
L. O. Graeber, Collections
Graeber Bldg.
665 Arrowhead Ave.
885-7256
San Bernardino, Calif. 92401



Los Angeles Santa Ana

Russ Mohrmann,

Collection Manager

FOR SALE COLLECTION AGENCY

gales. — Sprifice for quick action.

P.O. Bol. 205

San Gabriel, Ca. 917



The M TOTAL of the CHARACTER and the use of our SERVICE is SATISFACTION

HERMALL. FERGENSON ASSOCIATES

COLLECTION SPECIALISTS

Established 1915

ray Hill 4-0011

New York 1. N.Y.

MAR FERGENSON

We service commercial, will and Professional claims

1261 Broadway